

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
TYLER DIVISION**

7X CATTLE CO., LLC,	§	
	§	
Plaintiff,	§	
	§	
v.	§	Case No. 6:22-cv-396-JDK-KNM
	§	
DANIEL BRANDSTADT, et al.,	§	
	§	
Defendants.	§	
	§	

**ORDER ADOPTING REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION OF UNITED
STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE**

Before the Court is Plaintiff/Counter-Defendant 7X Cattle Company, LLC’s (“7X’s”) motion for summary judgment on Daniel Brandstadt’s amended counterclaim (Docket No. 159) and Defendant/Counter-Plaintiff Daniel Brandstadt’s motion for summary judgment against 7X (Docket No. 160). This case was referred to United States Magistrate Judge K. Nicole Mitchell for findings of fact, conclusions of law, and recommendations for disposition.

On March 4, 2025, Judge Mitchell issued a Report and Recommendation (Docket No. 189), recommending that 7X’s motion for summary judgment on Brandstadt’s amended counterclaim (Docket No. 159) be granted. Judge Mitchell further recommended that Brandstadt’s motion for summary judgment (Docket No. 160) be denied. No written objections have been received.


This Court reviews the findings and conclusions of the Magistrate Judge de novo only if a party objects within fourteen days of service of the Report and

Recommendation. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). In conducting a de novo review, the Court examines the entire record and makes an independent assessment under the law. *Douglass v. United Servs. Auto. Ass'n*, 79 F.3d 1415, 1430 (5th Cir. 1996) (en banc), *superseded on other grounds by statute*, 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) (extending the time to file objections from ten to fourteen days).

Here, no objections were filed. The Court therefore reviews the Magistrate Judge's findings for clear error or abuse of discretion and reviews her legal conclusions to determine whether they are contrary to law. *See United States v. Wilson*, 864 F.2d 1219, 1221 (5th Cir. 1989) (holding that, if no objections to a Magistrate Judge's Report are filed, the standard of review is "clearly erroneous, abuse of discretion and contrary to law").

Having reviewed the Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendations, the Court finds no clear error or abuse of discretion and no conclusions contrary to law. Accordingly, the Court hereby **ADOPTS** the Report and Recommendations of the United States Magistrate Judge (Docket No. 189) as the findings of this Court. 7X's motion for summary judgment (Docket No. 159) is **GRANTED** and Brandstadt's motion for summary judgment (Docket No. 160) is **DENIED**.

So **ORDERED** and **SIGNED** this 1st day of **April, 2025**.


JEREMY D. KERNODLE
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE